

## TestVector - QA Signal Audit Sample Output

Disclaimer: This is a sample deliverable created to show the structure of a QA Signal Audit. It does not represent a real client system.

Severity	Meaning
High	Could allow release-critical failure through
Medium	Creates friction, false confidence, or CI noise
Low	Improvement opportunity

### Product:

Fictional SaaS scheduling platform

### Audit Scope:

- Signup/login flow
- Subscription checkout
- Admin user management
- Notification delivery
- CSV export
- CI regression suite

### Key Findings:

#### 1. High-risk workflow lacks backend verification

Severity: High

Area: Subscription checkout

Finding: The UI test confirms that the checkout success page appears, but no test verifies subscription state in the database or billing-service response.

Risk: A user may see a successful payment screen while backend entitlement creation fails.

Recommended fix: Add API-level verification for billing response and DB-level validation for subscription status.

#### 2. Regression suite has duplicated UI setup

Severity: Medium

Area: Login/session setup

Finding: 42 tests repeat full login through the UI.

Risk: The suite spends unnecessary time testing the same login path and increases flakiness.

Recommended fix: Keep 2–3 direct login coverage tests, then use authenticated browser state for unrelated workflows.

#### 3. CSV export is manually checked

Severity: High

Area: Reporting/export

Finding: CSV export is validated manually before release.

Risk: Column order, missing rows, formatting changes, and encoding issues may reach production.

Recommended fix: Add file-level automated validation for headers, required columns, row counts, and sample transformed values.

#### 4. CI failures are not trusted

Severity: Medium

Area: CI signal

Finding: Intermittent failures are commonly rerun instead of investigated.

Risk: Real failures may be dismissed as flaky tests.

Recommended fix: Track flaky-test rate, quarantine unstable tests, and separate product failures from automation failures.

### **Recommended 30-Day Plan:**

#### Week 1:

Map release-critical workflows and remove duplicated UI login setup.

#### Week 2:

Add API and database checks for checkout and account state.

#### Week 3:

Add CSV export validation and CI failure categorization.

#### Week 4:

Stabilize flaky tests and define release-gate smoke coverage.

### **Expected Outcome:**

- Faster smoke suite
- Better backend coverage
- Cleaner CI signal
- Reduced manual export checking
- Higher confidence before release